



Texas Department of Insurance

Division of Workers' Comp

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1645

518-804-4000 telephone • 512-804-4811 fax • www.tdi.texas.gov

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

DALLAS COUNTY HOSPITAL
P O BOX 660599
DALLAS TX 75260 0599

Respondent Name

INSURANCE CO OF THE STATE OF PA

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

Box Number 19

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-11-2781-01

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "CLAIM DID NOT PAID PER DRG"

Amount in Dispute: \$3,022.79

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "Our FS team has confirmed that charges were processed correctly under case id#00CB1106300456. No additional payment recommendation is due at this time."

Response Submitted by: Gallagher Bassett Services, Inc., 6504 International Parkway, Suite 2100, Plano, Texas 75093

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
September 19, 2010 Through September 20, 2010	Inpatient Hospital Surgical Services	\$3,022.79	\$2,089.57

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for health care providers to pursue a medical fee dispute..
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404 sets out the guidelines for reimbursement of hospital facility fees for inpatient services.
3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(e) states that: "Except as provided in subsection (h) of this section,

regardless of billed amount, reimbursement shall be:

- (1) the amount for the service that is included in a specific fee schedule set in a contract that complies with the requirements of Labor Code §413.011; or
 - (2) if no contracted fee schedule exists that complies with Labor Code §413.011, the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) amount under subsection (f) of this section, including any applicable outlier payment amounts and reimbursement for implantables.”
 - (3) If no contracted fee schedule exists that complies with Labor Code §413.011, and an amount cannot be determined by application of the formula to calculate the MAR as outlined in subsection (f) of this section, reimbursement shall be determined in accordance with §134.1 of this title (relating to Medical Reimbursement).
4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(f) states that “The reimbursement calculation used for establishing the MAR shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Inpatient Prospective Payment System (IPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the Federal Register. The following minimal modifications shall be applied.
- (1) The sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by:
 - (A) 143 percent; unless
 - (B) a facility or surgical implant provider requests separate reimbursement in accordance with subsection (g) of this section, in which case the facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 108 percent.”
5. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:

Explanation of benefits dated December 7, 2010

- 16 –CLAIM/SERVICE LACKS INFORMATION WHICH IS NEEDED FOR ADJUDICATION. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IS SUPPLIED USING REMITTANCE ADVICE REMAR
- BL –TO AVOID DUPLICATE BILL DENIAL, FOR ALL RECON/ADJUSTMENTS/ADDITIONAL PYMNT REQUESTS, SUBMIT A COPY OF THIS EOR OR CLEAR NOTATION THAT

Explanation of benefits dated March 7, 2011

- BL –THIS BILL IS A RECONSIDERATION OF A PREVIOUSLY REVIEWED BILL.
- LN –THIS LINE WAS INCLUDED IN THE RECONSIDERATION OF THIS PREVIOUSLY REVIEWED BILL.

Explanation of benefits dated April 5, 2011

- BL –TO AVOID DUPLICATE BILL DENIAL, FOR ALL RECON/ADJUSTMENTS/ADDITIONAL PYMNT REQUESTS, SUBMIT A COPY OF THIS EOR OR CLEAR NOTATION THAT
- 18 –DUPLICATE CLAIM/SERVICE.

Issues

1. Were the disputed services subject to a specific fee schedule set in a contract between the parties that complies with the requirements of Labor Code §413.011?
2. Can the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) amount for the disputed services be determined according to 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(f)?
3. Did the facility or a surgical implant provider request separate reimbursement for implantables in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(g)?
4. Did the respondent support denial reason code ‘18’?
5. What disputed dates of service are eligible for review?
6. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement for the disputed services?

Findings

1. No documentation was found to support a contractual agreement between the parties to this dispute. Therefore, the Division concludes that the disputed services are not included in a specific fee schedule set in a contract that complies with the requirements of Labor Code §413.011.
2. Review of the submitted documentation finds that the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) amount for the disputed services can be determined according to 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(f).
3. Review of the submitted documentation finds no request for separate reimbursement of implantables in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(g).

4. The Respondent denied reimbursement based upon duplicate claim/service. The disputed service was a duplicate bill submitted for reconsideration of payment. The Respondent did not provide information/documentation of duplicate payments. Therefore, this payment denial reason has not been supported.
5. Review of the requestor's submitted documentation reveals the *Table of Disputed Services* shows the disputed dates of service are September 17, 2010 through September 20, 2010. However, the requestors submitted UB-04 bill shows the claimant admission date was September 19, 2010 and discharge date of September 20, 2010. Therefore, September 19, 2010 through September 20, 2010 are the only dates eligible for review.
6. Reimbursement for the disputed services is calculated in accordance with 28 TAC §134.404(f)(1)(A) as follows:

The Medicare facility-specific reimbursement amount including outlier payment amount for DRG 935 is \$12,777.75.

This amount multiplied by 143% is \$18,272.18.

The total maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) is \$18,272.18.

This amount less the amount previously paid by the respondent of \$16,182.61 leaves an amount due to the requestor of \$2,089.57.

The Division concludes that the requestor is entitled to \$2,089.57 additional reimbursement.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$2,089.57.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$2,089.57 plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

Authorized Signature

_____ Signature	_____ Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	October 7, 2011 _____ Date
--------------------	---	----------------------------------

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to request an appeal. A request for hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with other required information specified in Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.